

Massive 3D (super)gravity

Paul K. Townsend

DAMTP, Cambridge University, UK

Based on work with Olaf Hohm and Eric Bergshoeff, [BHT]
arXiv:0901.1766, arXiv: 0905.1259, & to appear

& Roel Andringa, Mees de Roo and Ergin Sezgin [ABdRHST]
arXiv:0907.4658

Pisa, October 23, 2009

Outline

- Spin 1 Warm Up: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} Proca \\ GeneralizedProca \\ Self - Dual \text{ spin } 1 \\ Extended Proca \end{array} \right.$
- Spin 2: $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (Generalized)Fierz - Pauli \\ Self - Dual \text{ spin } 2 \\ TMG \\ NMG \left\{ \begin{array}{l} Unitarity \\ Renormalizability? \end{array} \right. \\ GMG \\ NTMG \end{array} \right.$
- Unification via $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUGRA
- Cosmological models : $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} adS \text{ vacua} \\ bulk \text{ unitarity bound} \\ boundary CFT \end{array} \right.$
- Outlook

3D Proca

$$\mathcal{L}_{Proca} = -\frac{1}{4}G^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}m^2B^2, \quad (G_{\mu\nu} = 2\partial_{[\mu}B_{\nu]})$$

➔ Equations of motion are equivalent to

$$(\square - m^2) B_\mu = 0, \quad \partial^\mu B_\mu = 0 \quad (\dagger)$$

Propagates $(D - 1)$ modes in D spacetime dimensions, with mass m , so two modes for $D = 3$.

➔ For $D = 3$ the Proca equations are equivalent to

$$[\mathcal{P}(m)\mathcal{P}(-m)]_\mu{}^\rho B_\rho = 0 \quad \mathcal{P}(m)_\mu{}^\nu = \frac{1}{2} \left[\delta_\mu^\nu - \frac{1}{m} \varepsilon_\mu{}^{\tau\nu} \partial_\tau \right]$$

➔ The operator $\mathcal{P}(m)$ is an on-shell projection operator

$$\mathcal{P}^2(m)B = \mathcal{P}(m)B \quad \text{if } B \text{ satisfies } (\dagger)$$

It projects onto on-shell fields of helicity $h = \pm 1$:

$$\mathcal{P}(m) = \frac{1}{2} [1 - \text{sgn}(m)h], \quad h = (P \cdot J) / |m|$$

⇒ two propagated modes have helicities $+1$ and -1

Generalized 3D Proca and 'self-dual' limit

$$\mathcal{L}_{GP} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{G}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\tilde{\mu} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} B_\mu \partial_\nu B_\rho - \frac{1}{2}m^2 B^2, \quad (\tilde{G}^\mu = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu B_\rho)$$

➤ Recover Proca in limit $\tilde{\mu} \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed m .

➤ For finite $\tilde{\mu}$ we have parity breaking Chern-Simons (CS) term

➤ Equations of motion are equivalent to

$$[\mathcal{P}(m_+)\mathcal{P}(-m_-)]_\mu{}^\rho B_\rho = 0, \quad m_+ m_- = m^2, \quad m_- - m_+ = \tilde{\mu}$$

⇒ helicities ± 1 propagated with mass m_\pm

➤ Take $m_- \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed m_+ to get $\sqrt{\text{Proca}}$ (or "self-dual") equation [[Pilch, van Nieuwenhuizen, PKT, '84](#)]

$$\mathcal{P}(\mu)B = 0, \quad \mu = m^2/\tilde{\mu}$$

⇒ only helicity $+1$ propagated, with mass μ . Equation can be derived from 1st order Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{SD1} = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} B_\mu \partial_\nu B_\rho - \frac{1}{2}\mu B^2$$

Topologically massive electrodynamics

➔ $\sqrt{\text{Proca}}$ equation implies subsidiary condition $\partial \cdot B = 0$. Solve this in terms of vector potential A :

$$B^\mu = \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \partial_\nu A_\rho \equiv \tilde{F}^\mu$$

Substitute into $\sqrt{\text{Proca}}$ equation to get gauge-invariant equation

$$P(\mu)\tilde{F} = 0 \quad (\partial \cdot \tilde{F} \equiv 0)$$

This is field equation of topologically massive electrodynamics [[Schonfeld '81](#), [Deser, Jackiw & Templeton, '82](#)]

$$\mathcal{L}_{TME} = \frac{1}{2}\tilde{F}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\mu \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho$$

➔ **By construction**, TME is on-shell equivalent to $\sqrt{\text{Proca}}$. Off-shell equivalence follows on choosing sign of TME action to avoid ghosts (obviously possible because only one mode propagated).

➔ Can also prove equivalence of TME to $\sqrt{\text{Proca}}$ via 'master action' [[Deser & Jackiw, '84](#)]

Extended 3D Electrodynamics

➔ Solve subsidiary condition $\partial \cdot B = 0$ for Generalized Proca. This gives the ‘extended GP’ (EGP) equation

$$[\mathcal{P}(m_+)\mathcal{P}(-m_-)]_\mu{}^\rho \tilde{F}_\rho = 0$$

➔ EGP Lagrangian is

$$L_{EGP} = L_{CS} + \frac{1}{\mu} L_{Max} + \frac{1}{m^2} L_{ECS}$$

where

$$L_{CS} = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} A_\mu \partial_\nu A_\rho, \quad L_{Max} = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{F}^2, \quad L_{ECS} = +\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} \tilde{F}_\mu \partial_\nu \tilde{F}_\rho$$

The 3rd order term L_{ECS} is the “extended Chern-Simons” term

➔ Despite on-shell equivalence to GP, *there is no off-shell equivalence*. In the EGP theory, one of the two helicity modes is a ghost (negative KE) [Deser & Jackiw, '99].

3D Fierz-Pauli

$$\mathcal{L}_{FP} = \frac{1}{2} \varphi^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} \varphi_{\rho\sigma} - \frac{1}{4} m^2 (\varphi^{\mu\nu} \varphi_{\mu\nu} - \varphi^2) \quad (\varphi = \eta^{\mu\nu} \varphi_{\mu\nu})$$

➔ $\varphi_{\mu\nu}$ is symmetric tensor field, \mathcal{G} is the ‘Einstein operator’

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} = -\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{(\mu}{}^{\tau\rho} \varepsilon_{\nu)}{}^{\eta\sigma} \partial_\tau \partial_\eta$$

➔ $G_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{lin})}(\varphi) = \mathcal{G}_{\mu\nu}{}^{\rho\sigma} \varphi_{\rho\sigma}$ is linearized Einstein tensor. The ‘Einstein operator’ \mathcal{G} is second order in derivatives and self-adjoint. For example, in 3D Minkowski spacetime with signature $(-, +, +)$

➔ Equations of motion are equivalent to

$$(\square - m^2) \varphi_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \partial^\mu \varphi_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \varphi = 0$$

Propagates $(D + 1)(D - 2)/2$ modes with mass m , so two modes for $D = 3$.

➔ For $D = 3$ the FP equations are equivalent to

$$[\mathcal{P}(m)\mathcal{P}(-m)]_\mu{}^\rho \varphi_{\rho\nu} = 0, \quad \varphi = 0$$

⇒ subsidiary condition $\partial^\mu \varphi_{\mu\nu} = 0$ ⇒ dynamical eq. symmetric under $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ ⇒ $\mathcal{P}(\pm m)$ projects onto helicity ± 1 for each vector index ⇒ propagated modes have helicities $+2$ and -2 .

Generalized 3D Fierz-Pauli

➔ In 3D we can replace FP equations by

$$[\mathcal{P}(m_+)\mathcal{P}(-m_-)]_{\mu}{}^{\rho}\varphi_{\rho\nu} = 0, \quad \varphi = 0$$

These equations propagate one mode of helicity +2 with mass m_+ and another mode of helicity -2 with mass m_- .

➔ Take limit $m_- \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed m_+ to get \sqrt{FP} , or “self-dual” spin 2, equation [Aragone & Khoudeir, '86]

$$[\mathcal{P}(\mu)]_{\mu}{}^{\rho}\varphi_{\rho\nu} = 0, \quad \varphi = 0$$

This propagates a single helicity 2 mode of mass μ

➔ \sqrt{FP} equations imply subsidiary condition

$$\partial^{\mu}\varphi_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

➔ To get Lagrangian for either GFP or \sqrt{FP} , need to start from a 2nd rank tensor field of *no symmetry*. The equations of motion put the antisymmetric part to zero [Aragone & Khoudeir, '86]

Linearized TMG

➔ Solve differential subsidiary constraint of \sqrt{FP} for $\varphi_{\mu\nu}$ in terms of a symmetric tensor potential $h_{\mu\nu}$:

$$\varphi^{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\tau\rho}\varepsilon^{\nu\eta\sigma}\partial_\tau\partial_\eta h_{\rho\sigma} \equiv G_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{lin})}(h)$$

Remaining \sqrt{FP} equations become

$$[\mathcal{P}(\mu)]_\mu{}^\rho G_{\rho\nu}^{(\text{lin})}(h) = 0, \quad R^{(\text{lin})}(h) = 0$$

where $R^{(\text{lin})} = -2\eta^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{lin})}$ is the linearized Ricci scalar.

➔ These equations can be derived from the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{TMG}^{(\text{lin})} = \frac{1}{2}h^{\mu\nu}G_{\rho\nu}^{(\text{lin})} + \frac{1}{2\mu}h^{\mu\nu}C_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{lin})} \quad (\star)$$

where

$$C_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{lin})} = \varepsilon_\mu{}^{\tau\rho}\partial_\tau S_{\rho\nu}^{(\text{lin})}, \quad S_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{lin})} = R_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{lin})} - \frac{1}{4}\eta_{\mu\nu}R^{(\text{lin})}$$

This is the linearized Cotton tensor (3D analog of Weyl tensor)

➔ We now have 3rd order field equations, without ghosts (since equivalent on-shell to \sqrt{FP} and we have chosen sign such that the one propagated helicity 2 mode is physical)

Topologically Massive Gravity

➤➤ Lagrangian (\star) is quadratic approximation to Lagrangian of TMG [Deser, Jackiw & Templeton, '82]

$$\mathcal{L}_{TMG} = -\sqrt{|g|}R + \frac{1}{\mu}\mathcal{L}_{LCS}$$

The “Lorentz Chern-Simons” term is the CS term for the Levi-Civita connection, hence 3rd order in derivatives.

➤➤ Note ‘**wrong sign**’ of Einstein-Hilbert term

➤➤ TMG field equations are

$$G_{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{\mu}C_{\mu\nu} = 0$$

➤➤ The Cotton tensor $C_{\mu\nu}$ is 3D analog of Weyl tensor: $C_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0$ implies 3-metric is conformally flat. Because of the identity $g^{\mu\nu}C_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0$, the TMG field equations imply that $R = 0$.

New Massive Gravity

➔ Solve differential subsidiary constraint of FP. Remaining FP equations become

$$(\square - m^2) G_{\rho\nu}^{(\text{lin})} = 0, \quad R^{(\text{lin})} = 0$$

These are equations of Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{NMG}^{(\text{lin})} = \frac{1}{2} h^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{lin})} + \frac{1}{m^2} G_{(\text{lin})}^{\mu\nu} S_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{lin})}$$

➔ This is quadratic approximation to Lagrangian of “new massive gravity” [BHT]

$$\mathcal{L}_{NMG} = -\sqrt{|g|} R + \frac{1}{m^2} \sqrt{|g|} K, \quad K = R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{3}{8} R^2$$

Note “**wrong sign**” for Einstein-Hilbert term.

➔ Linearized NMG is *on-shell* equivalent to FP **by construction** but is it *off-shell* equivalent? In other words, *is linearized NMG unitary*, or will we find that one spin 2 mode is a ghost (like EP for spin 1)?

Auxiliary field formulation of NMG

Consider the Lagrangian [BHT]

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{|g|} \left[-R + f^{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} m^2 (f^{\mu\nu} f_{\mu\nu} - f^2) \right], \quad f = g^{\mu\nu} f_{\mu\nu}$$

The symmetric tensor field $f_{\mu\nu}$ is auxiliary: its equation of motion is

$$f_{\mu\nu} = \frac{2}{m^2} S_{\mu\nu}, \quad S_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} g_{\mu\nu} R$$

The tensor $S_{\mu\nu}$ is the 3D Schouten tensor (gauge-potential for conformal boosts).

➔ If we eliminate $f_{\mu\nu}$ by its algebraic equation of motion we recover NMG

➔ Define $\bar{h}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \eta_{\mu\nu} - f_{\mu\nu}$, and linearize in $(\bar{h}_{\mu\nu}, f_{\mu\nu})$. Quadratic Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_{quad} = -\mathcal{L}_{EH}^{(lin)}(\bar{h}) + \mathcal{L}_{FP}(f)$$

Note the “wrong sign” for the EH term, **but no modes are propagated by this term**. Hence equivalence to FP [BHT, Nakasone & Oda, '09]

Aside: Scalar Massive Gravity

Consider the Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{SMG} = \sqrt{|g|} \left[R - \frac{1}{2m^2} R^2 \right]$$

This is equivalent, in any dimension, to a scalar field coupled to Einstein gravity, and hence is unitary [Bicknell, '74]

➔➔ Proof starts with equivalent Lagrangian involving auxiliary *scalar* field f :

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{|g|} \left[R - fR + \frac{1}{2}m^2 f^2 \right]$$

➔➔ Proceeding in 3D, we define new metric, g' and scalar field ϕ by

$$g'_{\mu\nu} = (1 + f)^2 g_{\mu\nu}, \quad e^{-\phi} = 1 + f$$

to get to new Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = \sqrt{|g'|} \left[R' - 2(\partial\phi)^2 - 2m^2\phi^2 + \mathcal{O}(\phi^3) \right]$$

Canonical structure of NMG

➔ Metric perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ has only 3 degrees of freedom because of gauge invariance

➔ $\mu \rightarrow (0, i = 1, 2)$. Choose gauge $\partial_i h_{i\mu} = 0$

➔ We may write components of $h_{\mu\nu}$ in this gauge as

$$h_{ij} = -\varepsilon^{ik}\varepsilon^{jl}\frac{\partial_k\partial_l}{\nabla^2}\varphi, \quad h_{0i} = -\varepsilon^{ij}\frac{1}{\nabla^2}\partial_j\xi, \quad h_{00} = \frac{1}{\nabla^2}(N + \square\varphi)$$

The three functions (φ, ξ, N) are independent degrees of freedom. **We allow non-locality in space but not in time.**

➔ Substitute into action. Define $\zeta = \xi/m$. N is auxiliary, eliminate to get [Deser, '09]

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}[\varphi\square\varphi + \zeta\square\zeta] - \frac{1}{2}m^2[\varphi^2 + \zeta^2]$$

No higher time derivatives!. But maintaining space locality yields action 4th order in space derivatives (cf **Hořava gravity**).

➔ Because of space non-locality relative to linearized NMG, cannot interpret (φ, ζ) as scalars. In fact, they have helicity ± 2 .

Renormalizability?

Consider for $D = 3, 4$ and $\sigma = \pm 1$

$$S[g] = \int d^D x \sqrt{|g|} [\sigma R + a R^{\mu\nu} R_{\mu\nu} + b R^2]$$

- ➔ $D = 4$. By Bicknell's theorem, model is unitary but non-renormalizable if $a = 0$.
- ➔ $D = 4$ non-unitary if $a \neq 0$, but then **renormalizable** provided that $a \neq 3b$ [Stelle, '77]
- ➔ $D = 3$ and $\sigma = 1$. Unitary but non-renormalizable for $a = 0$. This is SMG
- ➔ $D = 3$ and $\sigma = -1$. Unitary for $3a - 8b = 0$. This is NMG. As $D = 4$ theory is renormalizable, and $a(a - 3b) \neq 0$, expect super-renormalizability. Has been checked [Oda, '09] but questions remain [Deser, '09]

Generalized Massive Gravity

Starting from generalized FP equations and solving differential subsidiary condition, we get linearized GMG equations

$$[\mathcal{P}(m_+)\mathcal{P}(-m_-)]_\mu{}^\rho G_{\rho\nu}^{(\text{lin})} = 0, \quad R^{(\text{lin})} = 0$$

These equations follow from Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{GMG}^{(\text{lin})} = \frac{1}{2}h^{\mu\nu}G_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{lin})} + \frac{1}{2\mu}h^{\mu\nu}C_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{lin})} + \frac{1}{m^2}G_{(\text{lin})}^{\mu\nu}S_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{lin})}$$

➔ Unitary. ‘Canonical’ analysis shows that there are two physical modes with squared masses m_\pm^2 [ABdRHST]. These are helicity +2 and helicity –2 modes

➔ Quadratic approximation to GMG Lagrangian [BHT]

$$\mathcal{L}_{GMG} = -\sqrt{|g|}R + \frac{1}{\mu}\mathcal{L}_{LCS} + \frac{1}{m^2}\sqrt{|g|}K$$

Note “wrong sign” for Einstein-Hilbert term.

➔ Recover TMG in limit $m^2 \rightarrow \infty$. Recover NMG in limit $|\mu| \rightarrow \infty$.

$\mathcal{N} = 2$ Unification

Spin 1 and Spin 2 models unified in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUGRA [ABdRHST]. Bosonic fields are metric and ‘auxiliary’ vector B_μ .

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{EH} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{EH} + B^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{LCS} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{LCS} + \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} B_\mu \partial_\nu B_\rho$$

$$\Rightarrow K \rightarrow K + \tilde{G}^2(B)$$

Hence

$$\Rightarrow \text{GMG} \rightarrow \text{GMG} + \text{GP}$$

Note that “wrong-sign” for EH term is needed to get right sign for B mass term, and unitarity of GP fixes sign of K .

\Rightarrow What do we get in Spin 2 sector if we add ECS term to spin 1 sector? We get ELCS term [BHT]

$$\mathcal{L}_{ELCS} = G^{\mu\nu} C_{\mu\nu} \equiv \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho} S_\mu^\alpha \partial_\nu S_{\rho\alpha} + \dots$$

Leads to ghosts, just like ECS for spin 1, as required by $\mathcal{N} = 2$ susy.

Cosmological models

➔ Add cosmological term [BHT]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{CNMG} = \sqrt{|g|} \left[-2\lambda m^2 + \sigma R + \frac{1}{m^2} K \right] + \frac{1}{\mu} \mathcal{L}_{LCS}$$

The parameter λ is dimensionless. Either sign of EH term ($\sigma = 1$ is “right sign”). Allow $m^2 < 0$.

➔ Look for maximally symmetric vacua, i.e.

$$G_{\mu\nu} = -\Lambda g_{\mu\nu}$$

for (cosmological) constant Λ ($\Lambda < 0 \Rightarrow$ AdS). Find that

$$\Lambda^2 + 4m^2\sigma\Lambda - 4\lambda m^4 = 0 \Rightarrow \Lambda = -2m^2 [\sigma \pm \sqrt{1 + \lambda}]$$

➔ $\lambda = -1$: ‘partially massless’ [Deser & Nepomechie, '84]

➔ Bulk unitarity bound $\sigma(\lambda - 3) \geq 0$ [BHT]

➔ Saturation of bulk unitarity bound ($\lambda = 3$) \Rightarrow massive graviton in adS bulk disappears! – replaced by massive photon

adS_3/CFT_2

In adS we expect boundary CFT [Brown & Henneaux, Maldacena, ...]. Central charge can be computed by various methods [Henningson & Skenderis, Saida & Soda, Kraus & Larsen, ...]. In our case [Liu&Sun, BHT]

$$c_{\pm} = \frac{3\ell}{2G_3} \left(\sigma + \frac{1}{2\ell^2 m^2} + \frac{1}{\mu\ell} \right)$$

where $\ell = 1\sqrt{-\Lambda}$ is adS radius and G_3 the 3D Newton constant.

➔ e.g. $\mu \rightarrow \infty$ limit: $c_{\pm} = c_{CNMG} = \frac{3\ell}{2m^2 G_3} (|\Lambda| - 2m^2 \sigma)$

➔ Central charge can vanish when $\sigma m^2 > 0$. This happens at $\lambda = 3$, i.e. when bulk gravitons are absent!

➔ Unitarity in bulk \Leftrightarrow negative c.c. of boundary CFT!

➔ This is essentially the same problem as in cosmological TMG [Carlip, Deser, Waldron & Wise] – possibly solved by “chiral gravity” [Li, Song & Strominger] (and possibly not [Skenderis, Taylor & van Rees]).

➔ Vacuum structure quite different for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ 3D massive sugra [ABdRHST]. Unitarity in adS vacua not yet analysed.

Outlook

- Enlarged class of generally covariant unitary theories for massive spin 2 in 3D; includes interacting extension of Fierz-Pauli.
- Higher derivative but higher *time* derivatives cancel in linearized theory; need full ADM formalism to check that all is still OK in the full theory—to be done.
- Renormalizability suggested by 4D results of Stelle, and there are claims for TMG [Deser & Zhang] and NMG [Oda]. More work needed.
- Cosmological version with adS vacuum suffers same problem as TMG but sugra may help—we shall see.
- $\mathcal{N} = 1$ sugra extensions constructed; $\mathcal{N} > 1$ is technically challenging. Expect $\mathcal{N}_{max} = 8$ for NMG, but $\mathcal{N}_{max} = 7$ for GMG and TMG. Linearized construction under way.
- Higher spin. Preliminary results suggest that massive spin s can be described by a gauge theory of order $2s$ in derivatives.